

Centralized Robotic Fleet Coordination and Control

DFKI Kaiserslautern Intelligent Networks Research Group Director: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Hans D. Schotten www.dfki.de info@dfki.de Maximilian Berndt Dennis Krummacker Christoph Fischer Hans D. Schotten



- Background
- Main objective and general assumptions
- Conventional approaches to robotic fleet coordination
- Why to centrally guide robotic fleets
- System architecture and design
- Implementation detail
- Gazebo simulation
- Conclusion
- Future work
- Outlook 5G testbed in DFKI Kaiserslautern

Background

- Fleets of mobile platforms and mobile manipulators for various use cases
 - Warehousing and (intra-) logistics
 - Healthcare
 - Manufacturing among many others
- Driver for value creation with certain success factors [1]:
 - Productivity
 - Availability
 - Flexibility
 - Predictability

[1] G. Fragapane, D. Ivanov, M. Peronet, et al., "Increasing flexibility and productivity in Industry 4.0 production networks with autonomous mobile robots and smart intralogistics", Annals of operations research, pp. 1–19, 2020.







- Considering a use case that is closely related to an **integrated**, **modular** and highly **automated** production/warehouse facility
- **Sporadically** incoming transportation tasks are served by centrally guided, mobile robots
- Developing a software system that enables **efficient**, **deterministic** and **flexible** management of transportation tasks
- Leveraging capabilities of current and next generation communication networks in terms of latency and reliability, e.g. running safety-critical applications on the edge server
- Condensing majority of sensors and computing units within infrastructure, only downlink communication for vehicle control → minimize energy consumption of the devices
- Minimal on-board sensor setup only; instead **infrastructure-based positioning** is assumed to be given (as a Black Box)
- Initially considering kinematics of differential drive robots, but not limited to such
- Infrastructure database, which enables the user to construct a grid-based road network (statically, for now)



- Two common approaches for application of mobile robots in industrial environments
 - Guided robots, following static paths, e.g. rail-based or based on infrastructure embedded in the ground
 - Safe and predictable but inflexible
 - Fully autonomous robots, mostly relying on information obtained by on-board sensors and processed by on-board computing resources (e.g. Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM)) and using negotiation strategies to solve critical situations, e.g. road intersections
 - > Flexible but hard to predict and relatively high energy consumption



- Easily run **controllers** on machines that are **located spatially distinct** to the actual **device** or even use **migration strategies** to dynamically adapt to changing circumstances
- Central unit to aggregate overall system information → obtain optimal solutions for planning processes
- Enable cooperation, e.g. platooning, even without sidelink communication
- Potentially Single Point of Failure?



System architecture and design



- Design aspects
 - Modular and scalable software solution implemented in C, C++ and Python
 - Set of mandatory modules that are required for basic tasks
 - Easily extendable with additional components for more sophisticated tasks
 - Decomposition of **planning** (fleet-level) and **control** (robot-level) tasks
- Key features
 - Integration of planning unit for task scheduling and online task migration, resource allocation and trajectory planning [2, 3]
 - Collision detection and avoidance, deadlock detection [2, 3]
 - Integration of ROS modules (e.g. navigation stack) and related tools (e.g. Gazebo) [3]

[2] M. Berndt, C. Fischer, and D. Krummacker, "Real-Time Task Scheduling and Multi-Vehicle Navigation for Intralogistics", in 2020 Workshop on Next Generation Networks and Applications (NGNA 2020), (Dec. 14–18, 2020), Kaiserslautern, Germany: Technische Universität Kaiserslautern, 2020.

[3] M. Berndt, C. Fischer, D. Krummacker and H. D. Schotten, "Deterministic Planning for Flexible Intralogistics", in The 26th IEEE International Conference on Automation and Computing 2021 (ICAC 21), (Sep. 2–4, 2021), Portsmouth, UK: IEEE, 2021.

German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence

- Mission Control Center (MCC)
 - global mission planning
- Robot Control Center (RCC)
 - robot-specific motion control
- Infrastructure database
 - used-defined road layout, constraints, ...
- Dashboard (GUI)
- Positioning System (generic)
 - simulated ground-truth data only
 - to be extended to multi-technology localization framework
- ERP Software interface
 - to receive incoming tasks in future versions



Gazebo simulation



Single robot: path following capability ٠





Gazebo 2 X -<u>File Edit Camera View Window Help</u> |**▼**+¢⊠| 🔯 🗒 📈 🗮] | 🕷 🗞 🖉 | 🗈 🛅 | 片 ႐ | 🕘 window 1227849000000 per of delivered regular workpieces: 18 per of delivered prio workpieces: 0 al revenue: 0.0 erage revenue per completed task: 0.0 % gent busy time:0 % O Property Va is static \checkmark 1 assembly_01 self collide 🗆 F enable_... ■F 3 2000 assembly_02 4 2000 0 2000 2 2000 II - Real Time Factor: 0.51 Sim Time: 0:28.185 Real Time: 0:05.573 Iterations: 1228185 FPS: 62.73 Rese



- Considering use case w.r.t. current trends in Industry 4.0, (I)IoT, particularly intralogistics
- Proposing an architecture and corresponding, possible implementation for robotic fleet coordination and control system
- Design concept enabling user to deploy a fleet **flexibly** and **efficiently**
- Ensuring **determinism** of mission planning process by design, obtain optimal solutions for planning problems
- Modular design approach to facilitate integration and exchange of various software components
- Increasing availability of robots by reducing **on-board sensor** setup to a bare **minimum**
- Increasing flexibility by enabling the user to integrate new (infrastructure-based) positioning technologies and sensor moduls during runtime without interruption of service
- Demonstrating system feasibility by conducting extensive tests in 3D simulation environment
- Showing relevant features, e.g. path and collision avoidance



- Simulation still lacks real-world application to prove feasibility under realistic circumstances
- Tests have to be conducted using private 5G network to investigate the suitability of 5G NR for these purposes, especially with regard to reliability and latency
- Centralization by itself not necesarilly optimal in all situations
 - Very high requirements on communication infrastructure
 - Hybrid/hierarchical approaches should also be considered
 - Enable on-line process migration, e.g. controllers running on the edge server or on the device at different points in time
 - Adaptively react to changing circumstances (communication control co-design)
- Transferring from a general ROS-based system design towards a more flexible approach
 - Enable combinations of different frameworks, e.g. ROS + ROS2



- Currently ground truth data assumed to be given → accurate and precise pose estimation is crucial - Integration of multi-technology localization platform using different approaches, e.g. camera- and radio-based systems
- Potentially using capabilities of mobile networks for positioning purposes
 - mmWave positioning with large BW, large antenny arrays, accurate timely synchronization, MPC-assisted positioning, JCaS, ...
- Centrally aggregate and process this information to obtain a suitably well approximation, which might also enable application of sophisticated statistical methods
- Integrate additional sensor moduls, e.g. radar or lidar (on-board or infrastructure-based), to generate a highly dimensional digital twin of the entire factory floor
 - Extend Virtual Vehicle Fleet to an actual fleet of digital twins
 - Match positioning data of sensor nodes with time-dependent measurements
 - \rightarrow Location aware sensor network

Outlook - 5G testbed in DFKI Kaiserslautern



- Wireless closed loop control
 - Robot motion control
- Wireless safety
 - Laser barriers that transmit emergency signal if triggered
- Camera-based positioning
 - Apriltags
 - Distributed stereo vision
- Radio-based positioning
 - UWB (also for communication)
 - 5G positioning
- Aggregation and fusion platform for heterogeneous sensor ndata
- Digital twin





• Digital Twin





Maximilian.Berndt@dfki.de