



Avoiding Local Interference in IEEE 802.15.4 TSCH Networks using a Scheduling Function with Distributed Blacklists

Leo Krüger, Lotte Steenbrink, Andreas Timm-Giel TU Hamburg (TUHH), Inst. of Communication Networks (ComNets) 24. ITG Fachtagung Mobilkommunikation, 15<sup>th</sup> May

17.05.2019



### **Institute & Research Project**



TUHH

#### **ComNets Hamburg**



#### Future Internet and Network Planning Sensor Networks and IoT

#### DRAISE

- Drahtlose, robuste, adaptive, industrielle Systeme f
  ür Industrie-Automation und Produktionslogistik
- KMU-innovativ (BMBF)
- Partner: Virtenio GmbH, TH Lübeck, Krallmann AG
- From February 2016 to April 2019



### **Motivation**





Operation of Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN) in industrial environments

#### Challenges

- Interference from co-located WLANs
- Frequently changing topologies

#### Requirements

- Adaptivity
- Self-configurability

#### Assumptions

- Machines communicating with each other
- Not a tree topology
- Decentralized mesh networks
- Existing hardware
- Interference is local



## **Time Slotted Channel Hopping** (тSCH)



TUHH



- IEEE 802.15.4 Medium Access
- TDMA and channel hopping

**IETF 6TiSCH stack** 

IPv6 (6LoWPAN)

6top Sublayer

Scheduling Function

6top Protocol (6P)

IEEE 802.15.4 TSCH MAC

IEEE 802.15.4 Physical Layer



## SF with Soft Blacklisting (SFSB)





- Scheduling Function that adapts to interference locally
- Designed to be used within the IETF 6TiSCH stack





## SFSB (simple)







Nodes do not transmit on blacklisted channels (and do not have to listen)

- Reduces the interference towards the "interferer"
- Reduced amount of available ressources due to blacklisting



## **SFSB (extended)**







Diverting to a non-disturbed channel based on local and neighbor blacklist

- > New channel is selected iteratively until a non-blacklisted channel is found
- > A well-known offset is used to not choose neighboring channels
- > More resources available, but higher probability of collisions

### Scenario







- Evaluation via OMNeT++ simulation model including TSCH, 6top sublayer, and spectrum sensing
- Clustering based on the number of co-located WLANs
- > Reference: *blind channel hopping* ( $\rightarrow$  no blacklisting)

**Delay** 





- With an increasing number of interferers, performance of SFSB (simple) deteriorates due to the reduced number of available ressources
- Blind Hopping and SFSB (extended) show a similar behaviour
- With three co-located networks, SFSB (extended) has a higher probability for low delays

### **Frame Delivery Ratio**



TUHH



In case of broadband interference, SFSB (extended) shows a 4.5% performance increase compared to Blind hopping

### Retransmissions



#### TUHH



> For **SFSB (extended)** 6.6% less frames reach the retransmission limit

## **Conclusion & Future Work**



- First results are promising
  - Nodes that are subject to heavy interference show a reduction in delay and number of retransmissions
- SFSB (extended) may be preferable to blind channel hopping when colocated WLAN networks cover a large part of the spectrum
- Disadvantage: Increased probability of intra-network interference

- Future work
  - Extensive analysis of SFSB to verify the performance gain, via simulations as well as testbed experiments based on Contiki
  - Comparison of different approaches for spectrum sensing
  - Adaptation to current developments within 6TiSCH Working Group
  - Open sourcing the simulation model





# Thank you! Are there any questions? www.tuhh.de/comnets leo.krueger@tuhh.de

17.05.2019